01

EMOTIONAL CONNECTIVITY IN A DISCONNECTED DIGITAL AGE; CAN LOVE RESOLVE THE INTERGENERATIONAL CONFLICT?

N. Hettige[1] and M. P. Dissanayake[2]
[1] Faculty of Graduate Studies, University of Colombo
[2] Faculty of Health Sciences, The Open University of Sri Lanka

ABSTRACT

In an era increasingly dominated by digital communication and rapid technological advancement, the quality of human relationships particularly those between generations faces unprecedented challenges. This paper aims to investigate the transformative potential of love as a resolution mechanism for intergenerational conflict in the digital age. The data collection and analysis were conducted using narrative review and thematic analysis. The research draws from the disciplines of positive psychology, developmental psychology, and family systems theory to explore how emotional connectivity and intentional acts of love can bridge the widening gap between older and younger generations. Technological overshadowing, characterized by digital addiction, diminished face-to-face interaction, and generational disparities in digital literacy, has created a landscape of misunderstanding and emotional detachment within families. This paper argues that while technological change is inevitable, the emotional disconnection it causes is not. By re-establishing core human values such as empathy, compassion, and love especially within the parent-child relationship, generational understanding and resilience can be cultivated. Drawing upon self-determination theory the broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions and attachment theory, the paper proposes a conceptual framework where love functions as both an emotional and psychological bridge. It brings up secure attachment, emotional regulation, and a shared sense of identity across generations. This also critically examines how digital alienation contributes to socio-emotional fragmentation, and how love-based interventions such as intentional dialogue, active listening, and expressions of affection can rehumanize familial relationships. Ultimately, this paper calls for a paradigm shift, embracing love not merely as an emotion but as a deliberate, strategic intervention to repair, reconnect, and reimagine intergenerational bonds in a technologically saturated world.

Keywords: *Intergenerational conflict, emotional connectivity, digital age, transformative power of love, positive psychology*

Introduction

The rapid evolution of digital technology has fundamentally transformed the nature of human communication, particularly within familial and intergenerational relationships. While these innovations have enabled unprecedented connectivity, they have also led to a concerning erosion of emotional depth and relational authenticity. Among younger generations, digital platforms often replace face-to-face conversations, creating a culture of instant messaging but delayed emotional engagement. This shift has been accompanied by growing intergenerational conflict, especially between parents and children, driven by stark differences in technological fluency, value systems, and communication styles (Turkle, 2015; Bengtson & Oyama, 2007).

The central problem this study addresses is the increasing emotional disconnect in parent-child relationships, intensified by technological mediation and generational divergence. While extensive research has explored intergenerational conflict through sociological, developmental, and technological lenses, there remains a significant gap in the literature regarding emotion-based interventions, particularly those grounded in positive psychology and emotional intelligence. Existing frameworks often emphasize behavioural adjustments and communication strategies, yet they frequently overlook the deeper emotional mechanisms that sustain meaningful relationships. Specifically, the role of love, as a conscious, intentional, and relational process, in healing intergenerational divides remains under-theorized and empirically underexplored. This study addresses that gap by reframing love not as an abstract or sentimental ideal, but as a practical and transformative emotional tool capable of restoring relational harmony in an era dominated by digital distraction and generational divergence.

This study sets out to explore whether love, when consciously practiced, can serve as a transformative tool for conflict resolution in the context of modern parent-child dynamics. It aims first to examine how technological saturation has contributed to emotional distancing and strained intergenerational bonds. Following this, the study will conceptualize love not as a passive sentiment but as a dynamic emotional process that involves empathy, emotional attunement, psychological safety, and intentional connection. Through this framework, the research seeks to evaluate how practices rooted in love, such as active listening, compassion, and vulnerability, can function as reparative agents capable of restoring trust and mutual understanding. Furthermore, it will investigate how

love, when cultivated deliberately, can support the co-creation of a shared value system that transcends generational and ideological divides.

By integrating psychological theory with relational practice, this inquiry positions love as a powerful yet overlooked strategy for resolving intergenerational tension. Ultimately, the study aspires to offer a contemporary model for love-based conflict resolution, one that is both emotionally resonant and pragmatically applicable in the digital age.

Literature Review

Conceptual and Theoretical Frameworks Underpinning the Study

This study is grounded in a comprehensive exploration of both conceptual and theoretical frameworks that provide a foundational understanding of the transformative potential of love in resolving intergenerational fragmentation, particularly in the context of modern digital influences. These frameworks not only elucidate the psychological and relational mechanisms involved but also guide the analytical lens through which the research problem is examined.

At the core, Positive Psychology offers a scientific lens to view love as more than a fleeting emotion, positioning it as a vital catalyst for human flourishing and resilience. Fredrickson's (2001) broaden-and-build theory conceptualizes positive emotions, such as love, as expanding individuals' cognitive and behavioural repertoires, thereby fostering long-term psychological resilience. Love is understood as micro-moments of connection that enhance relational satisfaction, especially within parent-child bonds (Fredrickson, 2013). This aligns with Seligman's (2011) PERMA model, where "Relationships" are integral to well-being and psychological strength. Within this framework, love nurtures emotional security, reduces stress, and promotes flourishing, making it a key factor in bridging generational divides affected by rapid technological change (Ryff & Singer, 2008; Cacioppo & Patrick, 2008). Furthermore, the physiological underpinnings, such as oxytocin release during affectionate interactions (Zak, 2012), reinforce love's role in fostering trust and emotional bonding that sustain family resilience (Walsh, 2016).

Complementing this, Attachment Theory (Bowlby, 1988) provides a developmental perspective by explaining how early emotional bonds shape later interpersonal trust and emotional regulation. Secure attachments formed through

caregiver responsiveness foster resilience and empathy (Ainsworth, 1989), which are crucial for navigating contemporary challenges posed by digital communication (Turkle, 2015). The theory highlights the risk of insecure attachment patterns emerging in digitally mediated relationships, potentially exacerbating intergenerational conflict. Yet, Attachment Theory also underscores the reparative potential of love enacted through empathy, validation, and physical presence to rebuild emotional safety and trust (Siegel & Hartzell, 2014). These positions love as an active relational practice central to restoring intergenerational connection.

Building on these psychological perspectives, the Intergenerational Solidarity Theory (Bengtson & Roberts, 1991) offers a multidimensional framework to understand familial cohesion through dimensions such as affectual (emotional closeness), associational (contact frequency), and consensual solidarity (shared values). The theory elucidates how affectual solidarity, or emotional bonding, is critical to resolving conflicts between generations. In today's digital context, emotional expression risks becoming superficial or fragmented through text-based communication, reducing the warmth of in-person interaction and thereby increasing misunderstandings (Carr, 2010). Love, when intentionally cultivated as a mode of compassionate communication, enhances affectual solidarity and fosters empathy across generational lines (Silverstein & Bengtson, 1997). This emotional bridging is essential to mitigating alienation caused by technological shifts, allowing shared values and mutual understanding to thrive.

Philosophically, the study is enriched by existentialist and humanist perspectives that conceptualize love as a conscious, transformative practice rather than mere sentiment. Fromm (1956) frames love as an active commitment rooted in care, responsibility, and respect, requiring intentionality and self-awareness. Similarly, Frankl's existential philosophy (1985) positions love as a path to meaning and transcendence, particularly relevant in overcoming intergenerational fragmentation by reinstating shared values and emotional depth. Existentialism emphasizes individual agency in creating meaning through choices, with love representing a deliberate affirmation of the other's humanity amid life's inherent uncertainties. Humanism complements this by highlighting love's role in self-actualization and authentic connection, focusing on nurturing growth and mutual respect across generations (Fromm, 1956).

Together, these conceptual and theoretical frameworks provide a robust foundation for analysing how love functions as a dynamic, multifaceted force capable of transforming parent-child relationships in a digital age marked by fragmentation. They guide the study's focus on love's psychological, relational, and philosophical dimensions, framing it as an intentional practice with the potential to bridge generational divides, promote resilience, and foster holistic well-being.

Methodology

This study employs a systematic literature review (SLR) methodology to comprehensively explore the conceptual and theoretical underpinnings of love's transformative potential in resolving intergenerational fragmentation. The systematic literature review method is particularly suited to synthesize existing empirical and theoretical knowledge, identify research gaps, and build a robust foundation for further analysis within this emerging interdisciplinary field (Petticrew & Roberts, 2006).

Systematic Literature Review Approach

The systematic literature review involves a structured and transparent process to identify, select, evaluate, and synthesize relevant academic studies, theoretical papers, and empirical findings. The review followed clearly defined inclusion and exclusion criteria to ensure the relevance and quality of the sources analysed. Databases including PsycINFO, PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar were searched using key terms such as "love and psychological resilience," "intergenerational relationships," "attachment theory and digital parenting," "positive psychology and relationships," and "existentialism and love."

The search was limited to peer-reviewed journal articles, book chapters, and reputable academic publications from 2000 onward to capture contemporary perspectives while incorporating seminal works foundational to the study's theoretical frameworks. The initial search yielded over 500 publications, which were then screened through title and abstract review, followed by full-text evaluation, resulting in a final sample of 45 highly relevant sources. To strengthen methodological transparency, studies were included based on predefined criteria of conceptual relevance to love's transformative role, theoretical depth, and empirical quality. Themes were identified using a theory-driven sampling

strategy, where literature was purposefully selected to reflect a range of disciplinary perspectives, psychological, philosophical, and relational, relevant to the research objectives. This rigorous selection process ensured that only studies meeting stringent relevance and methodological standards were included, minimizing bias and enhancing the reliability of findings (Kitchenham, 2004).

Data Extraction and Synthesis

Data extraction involved systematically coding key concepts, theoretical models, methodologies, findings, and limitations from each selected publication. This process was guided by a pre-established framework aligned with the study's research objectives, focusing on psychological, relational, and philosophical dimensions of love in intergenerational contexts. To ensure consistency and reduce subjective bias, coding was independently performed by two researchers and discrepancies resolved through discussion and consensus (Armstrong et al., 2011).

The synthesis employed a thematic analysis approach, identifying recurrent themes and patterns across the literature, such as the role of positive emotions in resilience (Fredrickson, 2001), attachment security and digital communication (Turkle, 2015), and philosophical perspectives on love as an active practice (Fromm, 1956; Frankl, 1985). This thematic aggregation allowed for a comprehensive understanding of how diverse theoretical perspectives converge to explain the transformative power of love.

Justification for Data Analysis and Interpretation

The chosen methods for data analysis and interpretation were rigorously selected to ensure a thorough and unbiased examination of complex, interdisciplinary literature. The systematic approach enhances replicability and transparency, addressing common criticisms of narrative reviews such as selection bias and lack of methodological rigor (Gough et al., 2017). By adhering to established protocols for systematic reviews, the study provides a credible synthesis of existing knowledge, which forms a sound basis for advancing theoretical integration and practical applications.

The dual independent coding and consensus method strengthens the reliability of thematic identification, reducing the risk of individual interpretive bias. Furthermore, the thematic synthesis aligns with qualitative research standards,

allowing for the integration of diverse data types, quantitative findings, qualitative insights, and philosophical arguments into a cohesive analytical framework (Thomas & Harden, 2008).

Interpretation was conducted with careful consideration of the contextual nuances of each theoretical contribution, acknowledging differences in disciplinary perspectives while highlighting convergent themes that underpin the research problem. This balanced interpretative approach ensures the findings are both comprehensive and nuanced, capturing the multifaceted nature of love's role in intergenerational resilience and fragmentation.

In summary, the systematic literature review methodology, combined with rigorous thematic analysis and interpretive strategies, provides a robust, transparent, and reliable foundation for understanding the conceptual and theoretical dimensions of the study. It enables a deep, integrated examination of how love functions as a transformative force, thereby guiding the research's analytical framework and subsequent discussions.

Findings

The systematic review of 45 peer-reviewed articles and foundational theoretical works revealed several key findings that illuminate the multifaceted role of love in resolving intergenerational fragmentation and fostering psychological resilience.

Positive Emotions and Psychological Resilience

Consistent with Fredrickson's broaden-and-build theory (2001), the reviewed literature underscores that positive emotions, particularly love, significantly expand individuals' cognitive and behavioural repertoires. Love functions as more than fleeting affection; it cultivates enduring psychological resilience by promoting empathy, openness, and constructive interpersonal behaviours. Studies demonstrate that within family systems, micro-moments of connection characterized by love improve relational satisfaction and emotional security (Fredrickson, 2013; Ryff & Singer, 2008). This emotional security serves as a protective factor against stress and conflict, particularly in multigenerational households where generational and technological divides often create tension.

Attachment Security and the Digital Age

Attachment theory research highlights the crucial influence of early emotional bonds on individuals' capacity for trust, emotional regulation, and relationship satisfaction in adulthood (Bowlby, 1988; Ainsworth, 1989). The literature points to the challenges posed by digital communication mediums, which often replace emotionally rich face-to-face interactions with superficial exchanges (Turkle, 2015). This shift can contribute to insecure attachment patterns among adolescents, manifesting as emotional withdrawal or rebellion, which exacerbate intergenerational conflicts. However, the findings also emphasize that intentional expressions of love, through empathy, active listening, and physical presence, can repair or reinforce attachment security, thus mitigating these conflicts (Siegel & Hartzell, 2014).

Intergenerational Solidarity and Emotional Connectivity

Bengtson and Roberts' Intergenerational Solidarity Theory (1991) was frequently cited, with affectual solidarity, emotional closeness, emerging as pivotal to maintaining family cohesion. The studies reviewed illustrate that in the modern digital landscape, affectual solidarity is often weakened by reduced face-to-face contact and reliance on mediated communication (Carr, 2010). This emotional detachment fosters misunderstandings and perceived value gaps between parents and children. Love-cantered communication strategies that emphasize compassion, emotional validation, and shared experiences were found to rebuild not only affectual solidarity but also strengthen consensual and functional solidarity dimensions (Silverstein & Bengtson, 1997). This restoration fosters a more integrated and peaceful family dynamic, bridging generational gaps.

Philosophical Perspectives on Love as Transformative Practice

The literature also reveals the depth of philosophical inquiry into love's role as an active, volitional practice essential to personal and relational transformation. Fromm's humanistic perspective (1956) frames love as a deliberate choice rooted in care, responsibility, and respect rather than passive emotion. Frankl's existentialist view (1985) positions love as a source of meaning and transcendence, especially within familial bonds. These philosophical insights align with psychological theories by emphasizing love's capacity to create meaning, foster authentic connection, and promote growth. The integration of

existential and humanistic perspectives enriches the conceptual framework, illustrating how love actively counteracts fragmentation and alienation in intergenerational relationships.

Synthesis of Theoretical Convergences

Overall, the findings reveal strong convergence among positive psychology, attachment theory, intergenerational solidarity, and philosophical humanism/existentialism regarding love's transformative potential. Love emerges as a multidimensional construct encompassing emotional warmth, intentional practice, and existential meaning-making. It acts as a bridge across generational divides, fostering empathy, security, and shared values despite the challenges posed by technological change and social fragmentation. These findings collectively underscore that love is not a mere abstract ideal but a practical, evidence-based strategy for nurturing resilience, resolving conflicts, and promoting flourishing within families across generations.

Ultimately, love is not a sentimental ideal but a pragmatic, evidence-backed tool for family flourishing. In facing the widening rift of technological and cultural generational divides, love offers a healing force, uniting rather than fragmenting, listening rather than judging, and understanding rather than controlling. By re-prioritizing emotionally attuned, love-driven practices, families can thrive even amidst the complexities of the digital age.

Discussion

Love as an Emotional Regulator

The systematic review highlights how intergenerational conflict in the digital era has become a complex interplay of technological immersion, shifting values, and communication breakdowns within families. Central to resolving these conflicts is the transformative role of **love**, which acts not merely as an abstract feeling but as a powerful emotional regulator and relational strategy that fosters connection, empathy, and mutual understanding.

Love as an Emotional Regulator emerges as a critical mechanism in de-escalating tensions and fostering emotional attunement between parents and children. The digital age, with its constant distractions and emotionally distant interactions, challenges the ability of family members to stay emotionally present and

responsive. However, when interactions are suffused with warmth, compassion, and non-judgmental presence, they activate physiological systems, such as the parasympathetic nervous system and oxytocin release, that calm the body and enable reflective, empathetic communication (Porges, 2011; Feldman, 2012). This neurobiological foundation supports the co-regulation of emotions within families, teaching children to modulate their own emotional responses and helping parents to respond with patience and understanding. Love, therefore, functions as a bio-psychological intervention that transforms conflict from reactive confrontation into opportunities for relational growth and resilience.

Co-Creating Boundaries and Digital Literacy

Building on this emotional foundation, the practice of **co-creating boundaries** around digital use embodies love as a practical and democratic process rather than a top-down imposition. Given that authoritarian or unilateral controls over technology often provoke resistance and secrecy, a collaborative approach rooted in empathy and mutual respect encourages psychological ownership and intrinsic motivation among children (Deci & Ryan, 2000; Baumrind, 1991). Love, in this context, bridges structure and flexibility, allowing parents to explain the rationale behind rules while inviting children's active participation in shaping those rules. This not only reduces defensive postures but also fosters a relational climate where boundaries are experienced as caring agreements rather than punitive restrictions.

Digital Literacy as a Shared Endeavor

Complementing boundary-setting, digital literacy as a shared endeavour reframes the generational power dynamic into a cooperative learning journey. While children typically navigate digital platforms with ease, they often lack the emotional maturity or ethical frameworks to do so wisely. Conversely, parents may bring valuable life experience and emotional insight but struggle with technological fluency. When love guides this exchange, families create psychologically safe spaces where curiosity, humility, and respect flow both ways. Parents can learn about new technologies with openness, while also mentoring children on important values such as privacy, empathy, and digital well-being (Livingstone et al., 2018). This mutual learning not only diffuses

conflict but enriches intergenerational relationships by fostering shared responsibility and emotional equity within the family system (Bowen, 1978).

Integrative Framework for Family Resilience

Together, these interconnected strategies, love as an emotional regulator, co-created digital boundaries, and shared digital literacy offer a comprehensive framework for addressing the unique challenges of intergenerational conflict in the digital era. They move beyond simple behaviour management to focus on emotional attunement, relational repair, and collaborative growth. In doing so, love transforms from a passive sentiment into a dynamic, intentional practice grounded in psychological theory and neurobiological evidence, ultimately supporting families to navigate digital complexities with empathy, respect, and resilience.

Practical Implications and Interventions

To address intergenerational conflict exacerbated by digital immersion, a set of love-centered interventions grounded in psychological science and systemic family theory can be strategically implemented within families and community settings. These interventions aim not merely at managing behaviour but at restoring emotional connectivity, enhancing mutual understanding, and rebuilding relational resilience across generations.

Digital Sabbaths and Intentional Emotional Reconnection

Implementing **Digital Sabbaths**, designated, tech-free family periods offer families structured opportunities to re-establish emotional presence and non-verbal attunement. These intentional pauses from screen-mediated interactions act as a restorative mechanism, enhancing oxytocin-driven bonding and supporting nervous system co-regulation (Siegel, 2012; Porges, 2011). These periods of shared presence promote secure attachment, especially in younger family members, and can reduce digital dependency by modelling emotionally rewarding offline alternatives.

Strength-Based Dialogues and Relational Positivity

Drawing on the principles of Positive Psychology, families can be encouraged to engage in regular strength-based conversations, such as gratitude-sharing,

strength spotting, and mutual affirmations (Seligman et al., 2005). These interactions contribute to an upward spiral of positive emotion, increase mutual appreciation, and counteract the negativity bias often activated during conflict (Fredrickson, 2001). More importantly, such practices re-center love not as sentiment but as a relational skill, deliberately cultivated to promote emotional safety, self-worth, and relational joy.

Future Directions

Given the increasing integration of digital technology into daily life and its complex psychological implications, future research must explore how love, as an emotional regulator and relational catalyst, can be systematically leveraged to heal generational rifts and cultivate sustainable emotional ecosystems within families.

Longitudinal Impact of Love-Based Interventions

Future studies should employ longitudinal mixed-method designs to assess the sustained impact of love-based interventions, such as Digital Sabbaths, gratitude rituals, strength-spotting, and narrative reframing, on intergenerational relationships. Tracking emotional attunement, empathy levels, and changes in digital dependency over time will offer empirical grounding for developing evidence-based family well-being frameworks. Such research can also examine how consistent relational rituals driven by love contribute to improved emotional resilience, trust, and relational satisfaction across age cohorts.

Cross-Cultural Applicability of Love-Centered Models

Much of the existing discourse around digital divides and intergenerational tensions reflects a Western cultural bias. Future research must explore how concepts of love, emotional expression, and digital engagement are culturally embedded and socially constructed. Investigating how love is practiced, perceived, and transmitted in non-Western and collectivist societies can enrich the universality and adaptability of proposed interventions. This will also help design culturally attuned psychoeducational materials and parenting programs that respect indigenous emotional norms while addressing contemporary digital challenges.

Digital Literacy and Emotionally Intelligent Technology

There is a critical need to examine how digital literacy intersects with emotional intelligence, especially in designing tech-mediated interactions that foster love, empathy, and understanding across generations. Future research can investigate how emotionally intelligent platforms, digital storytelling tools, or AI companions could support intergenerational conversations, emotional regulation, and boundary-setting. Collaborations between psychologists, educators, and tech developers could pave the way for emotionally supportive digital environments that mitigate alienation and foster deeper connection.

Policy and System-Level Innovations

To maximize societal impact, research must inform **policy development** in education, public health, and family welfare. This includes integrating love-informed emotional literacy into national school curricula, promoting intergenerational community programs, and encouraging digital well-being campaigns. Policymakers can be guided by findings on how emotional disconnection manifests across generations and how love-centered strategies can serve as a preventative and restorative social tool in the digital era.

Transdisciplinary Research and Practice

Finally, future directions should embrace a transdisciplinary approach that integrates Positive Psychology, developmental psychology, digital ethics, family systems theory, and human-computer interaction. This fusion will enable a comprehensive framework for understanding and enhancing love's role in human flourishing amidst digital complexity. Such integrative inquiry can also contribute to evolving a new paradigm of digital-era well-being—one where love is not sidelined as a soft emotion but positioned as a central force in societal and relational transformation.

Conclusion

In an era increasingly defined by digital saturation, the dissonance between generations is no longer merely a product of values or customs, but of emotional disconnect exacerbated by technology. This paper has argued that love, when understood as an active, intentional, and regulatory force offers a profound counterbalance to the fragmentation of relational bonds in digitally immersed

families. Drawing from Positive Psychology, attachment theory, and emerging insights into emotional regulation, the discussion repositions love not as a sentimental ideal, but as a psychosocial mechanism capable of repairing ruptures, cultivating resilience, and restoring coherence between generations.

Through sub-topics such as co-creating boundaries, emotional literacy, and shared digital endeavours, we illuminated how love can serve as a mediating tool for empathy, autonomy, and mutual growth. Whether through structured interventions like Digital Sabbaths or informal practices of strength-based dialogue, love fosters affective attunement and rehumanizes relationships strained by screens.

Ultimately, this paper invites a paradigm shift, from viewing digital conflict as a technological issue to recognizing it as **an emotional and relational concern** that requires intentional healing practices. Love, in this light, becomes more than an emotion; it is a practical tool for recalibrating modern relationships, particularly those strained by generational divides and digital overexposure. By restoring emotional presence, fostering mutual understanding, and co-creating meaningful boundaries, love paves the way for a more connected, resilient, and emotionally intelligent digital future.

References

Ainsworth, M. D. S. (1989). Attachments beyond infancy. *American Psychologist, 44*(4), 709–716. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.44.4.709

Armstrong, R., Hall, B. J., Doyle, J., & Waters, E. (2011). 'Scoping the scope' of a Cochrane review. *Journal of Public Health, 33*(1), 147–150. https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdr015

Baumrind, D. (1991). The influence of parenting style on adolescent competence and substance use. *The Journal of Early Adolescence, 11*(1), 56–95. https://doi.org/10.1177/0272431691111004

Bengtson, V. L., & Oyama, P. S. (2007). Intergenerational solidarity: Strengthening economic and social bonds in an aging society. In R. Settersten Jr. (Ed.), *Invitation to the life course: Toward new understandings of later life* (pp. 124–153). Baywood Publishing.

Bengtson, V. L., & Roberts, R. E. L. (1991). Intergenerational solidarity in aging families: An example of formal theory construction. *Journal of Marriage and Family, 53*(4), 856–870. https://doi.org/10.2307/353012

Bowlby, J. (1988). *A secure base: Parent-child attachment and healthy human development*. Basic Books.

Cacioppo, J. T., & Patrick, W. (2008). *Loneliness: Human nature and the need for social connection*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Carr, D. (2010). Age, narrative and migration: The life course and life histories in transnational contexts. In M. P. Smith & L. E. Guarnizo (Eds.), *Transnationalism from below* (pp. 85–106). Transaction Publishers.

Carr, D. (2010). The loneliness of the long-distance caregiver: Families and intergenerational care. *Family Relations, 59*(2), 135–147. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3729.2010.00583.x

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry, 11*(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01

Feldman, R. (2012). Oxytocin and social affiliation in humans. *Hormones and Behavior, 61*(3), 380–391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.01.008

Frankl, V. E. (1985). *Man's search for meaning*. Beacon Press.

Fredrickson, B. L. (2001). The role of positive emotions in positive psychology: The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *American Psychologist, 56*(3), 218–226. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.56.3.218

Fredrickson, B. L. (2013). Updated thinking on positivity ratios. *American Psychologist, 68*(9), 814–822. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0033584

Fromm, E. (1956). *The art of loving*. Harper & Row.

Gough, D., Oliver, S., & Thomas, J. (2017). *An introduction to systematic reviews* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Kitchenham, B. (2004). Procedures for performing systematic reviews. Keele University Technical Report TR/SE-0401. https://doi.org/10.1.1.122.6282

Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2006). *Systematic reviews in the social sciences: A practical guide*. Blackwell Publishing.

Porges, S. W. (2011). *The polyvagal theory: Neurophysiological foundations of emotions, attachment, communication, and self-regulation*. Norton Series on Interpersonal Neurobiology. W. W. Norton & Company.

Ryff, C. D., & Singer, B. H. (2008). Know thyself and become what you are: A eudaimonic approach to psychological well-being. *Journal of Happiness Studies, 9*(1), 13–39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-006-9019-0

Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). *Flourish: A visionary new understanding of happiness and well-being*. Free Press.

Seligman, M. E. P., Steen, T. A., Park, N., & Peterson, C. (2005). Positive psychology progress: Empirical validation of interventions. *American Psychologist, 60*(5), 410–421. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.60.5.410

Siegel, D. J. (2012). *The developing mind: How relationships and the brain interact to shape who we are* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.

Siegel, D. J., & Hartzell, M. (2014). *Parenting from the inside out: How a deeper self-understanding can help you raise children who thrive* (2nd ed.). TarcherPerigee.

Silverstein, M., & Bengtson, V. L. (1997). Intergenerational solidarity and the structure of adult child–parent relationships in American families. *American Journal of Sociology, 103*(2), 429–460. https://doi.org/10.1086/231213

Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology, 8*, Article 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-8-45

Turkle, S. (2015). *Reclaiming conversation: The power of talk in a digital age*. Penguin Press.

Walsh, F. (2016). Family resilience: A developmental systems framework. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology, 13*(3), 313–324. https://doi.org/10.1080/17405629.2016.1154035

Zak, P. J. (2012). *The moral molecule: The source of love and prosperity*. Dutton.